Den Danske Dyrlægeforening

| On behalf of: Owner | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---|----------|
| Name | | | | | |
| Address | | | | | |
| Phone | | | | | |
| E-mail | | | | | |
| Main data | | | | | |
| Name V. Cahill x Chin C | Champ | Race | | Color | Sex Mare |
| Birthday 21-04-2021 | | Reg No. DE | 421000067221 | Chip No. | |
| The identity and marking | s of the horse are | in agreement v | vith its passport: 🗸 | Horse is chipped: | |
| The horse is being purcha | ased for (usage): F | remtidig ridnir | 9 | | |
| For how long has the hors | se been continuous | sly trained/ridd | en, previously to the pre-pu | rchase exam?: | |
| Seller is registered as a cl | lient with the pract | ice of the veter | inary surgeon performing th | e pre-purchase exam ? Ye | es |
| With reference to the conditions and limitations of the pre-purchase examination specified in the advance agreement, my conclusions as the examining veterinary surgeon on the day of the examination are as follows From a veterinary point of view, there is a standard risk that the health status of the horse as of this date will influence the proposed usage of the horse. Ref. Point From a veterinary point of view, there is a mildly increased risk that the health status of the horse as of this date will influence the proposed usage of the horse. Ref. Point From a veterinary point of view, there is a moderately increased risk that the health status of the horse as of this date will influence the proposed usage of the horse. Ref. Point From a veterinary point of view, there is a considerably increased risk that the health status of the horse as of this date will influence the proposed usage of the horse. Ref. Point Without further supplementary examinations, it remains im possible to draw any conclusions from the performed examination. Ref. Point | | | | | |
| | | | | Dyrlæge Knud Jørgensen Højbovej 30 DK - 8700 Alørsens mobil: 445 60 21/34 88 mail: igro@equine-vet.dk | |
| 09-12-2022 | | | | | |
| | Date | | | Signature | |

| 1. L | ISE OF STANDARD PROJECTIONS OF THE LIMBS | |
|------|--|-----------------|
| 1 | USE OF STANDARD PROJECTIONS OF THE LIMBS | |
| | | No |
| 1a | Hoof and fetlock, front legs and hind legs Lateromedial (LM) | |
| | | LF: No ☐ Yes ✓ |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 1b | Hoof and fetlock front legs Dorsopalmar (DP) | RH. NO LI YES L |
| | Theoretic leader from legs Borsopaintal (B1) | LF: No Yes |
| | | |
| | | RF: No Yes V |
| 1c | Tarsus Lateromedial (LM) | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes 🗸 |
| 1d | Tarsus Plantarolateral (PILDMO) | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 1e | Tarsus Dorsolateral (DLPIMO) | |
| | | LH: No ☐ Yes ✓ |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 1f | Stifle caudolateral (CaLCrMO) | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | |
| | | RH: No Yes V |
| | | |
| 2. L | JSE OF ADDITIONEL PROJECTIONS OF THE LIMBS | |
| 2 | USE OF ADDITIONEL PROJECTIONS OF THE LIMBS | |
| | | No ✓ Yes |
| | | 110 1103 |
| | | |
| 3. N | IECK PROJECTIONS | |
| 3a | Laterolateralt (LL) | |
| | | No Yes |
| | | _ |
| | OORSAL SPINOUS PROCESSES | |
| т. L | | |

| 4a | Laterolateral (LL) | |
|------|--|-----------------|
| | | No ✓ Yes |
| | | |
| 5. (| OTHER PROJECTIONS | |
| 5a | Other projektions | |
| | | No ✓ Yes |
| | | |
| 6. F | Hoof | |
| 6b | Are there remodelling or exostosis on the dorsal part of the pastern or the fetlock? | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 6c | Is there ossification of the hoof cartilage? | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No ✓ Yes |
| 6d | Is there any remodelling around the navicular bone? | LF: No ✓ Yes |
| | | LF: No ✔ Yes ☐ |
| | | RF: No ♥ Yes ☐ |
| | | LH: No ♥ Yes ☐ |
| 6e | Is there any deviation of the position of the pedal bone in the hoof capsule? | RH: No V Yes |
| | | LF: No ✓ Yes |
| | | RF: No ✓ Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 6f | Are there cysts in the pedal or pastern bone? | _ |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No 🗸 Yes |
| | | RH: No 🗸 Yes |
| 6g | Other comments: | |
| | | |
| 8 F | Proximal phalanx front leg and hind leg | |

⁸a Are there OCD fragments on the sagittal ridge?

| | | LF: No ✓ Yes |
|----|---|--------------|
| | | RF: No ✓ Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No 🗸 Yes |
| 8b | Are there OC-contour changes on the sagittal ridge? | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No ✓ Yes |
| 8c | Are there any other fragments dorsodistal or dorsoproximal? | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 8d | Are there any palmar/plantar OCD or ununited palmar/plantar process (UPE)? | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 8e | Is there a fracture of the proximal sesamoid bone? | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 8f | Is there any remodelling on or around the proximal sesamoid bone? | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 8g | Are there any exostosis palmar/plantar on the proximal phalanx | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| 01 | | RH: No Yes |
| 8h | Are there any cysts in the proximal phalanx or the distal part of the metacarpal/metatarsal bone? | |
| | | LF: No Yes |
| | | RF: No Yes |
| | | LH: No Yes |

| | | RH: No 🗸 Yes |
|------|--|----------------|
| 8i | Other comments: | |
| | Little chip dorsal in both hind fetlock | _ |
| | | |
| 9. T | arsus | |
| 9a | Are there OC fragments on the distal intermediate ridge, lateral trochlea or medial malleolus? | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No 🗸 Yes |
| 9b | Are there OC- contour changes on the distal intermediate ridge, lateral trochlea or the medial malleolous? | |
| | | LH: No ✓ Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 9c | Is there an entheseophyte dorsoproximally on the third metatarsal bone? | |
| | | LH: No ✓ Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 9d | Are there remodelling or osteolysis in or around the tarsal bones? | |
| | | LH: No ✓ Yes |
| | | RH: No ✓ Yes |
| 9e | Are there wedge shaped tarsal bones? | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No ✓ Yes |
| 9f | Are there fragments plantar in the tibiotarsal joint? | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No ✓ Yes |
| 9g | Are there osteolysis in/or remodelling around sustentaculum tali? | |
| | | LH: No ✓ Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 9h | Other comments: | |
| | | |
| 10. | Stifle | |
| 10a | Are there OCD fragments on the lateral troclea? | _ |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 10b | Are there OC-contour changes on the laterale trochlea? | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 10c | Are there remodelling/fragments distal on patella? | |

| | | LH: No Yes |
|-----|---|-----------------|
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 10d | Are there fragments or calcifications caudal in the femerotibial joint? | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 10e | Is there remodelling cranial to the intercondylar process? | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 10f | Are there cysts distal in femur or proximal in tibia? | |
| | | LH: No Yes |
| | | RH: No Yes |
| 10g | Other comments: | |
| | | |
| 14. | Other | |
| 14a | Other findings | |
| | | No ✓ Yes |